



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/664,294	09/18/2000	Nathan F. Raciborski	19396-001300US	3787
75	590 02/11/2004		EXAMIN	NER
Thomas D Franklin			BATES, KEVIN T	
Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP 8th Floor Two Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	09/664,294	RACIBORSKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Kevin Bates	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cov r sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12-7-	<u>2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
						8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u>-</u>	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
 Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10.12. 		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/664,294

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to a communication received December 17, 2003.

The Information Disclosure statements were received on September 22, 2003 and January 1, 2004 and have been considered.

Claims 1-20 are pending in this action.

Response to Amendment

Claims 1-20, as amended, remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tripp (6,516,337).

Regarding claim 1, Tripp discloses a directory (a central catalog) which has a first conduit between the directory and a first site; a second conduit between the directory and a second site (Column 5, lines 47 - 51); a receiver function (Column 5, lines 36 - 38) to accept: a first local catalog of directory information from the first site and a second local content catalog of directory information from the second site (Column 5, lines 38 - 45); a global catalog of directory information that comprises the first local catalog and the second local catalog (Column 5, lines 36 - 38); and a first timer, wherein the first local catalog is removed from the global catalog if the first site fails to autonomously respond before a first timer expires (Column 10, lines 11 - 15; Column 5, lines 38 - 42).

Regarding claim 2, Tripp discloses the idea that the first site and second site respectively reports the first local catalog and second local catalog to the receiver function according to a predetermined schedule (Column 6, lines 40 – 44).

Application/Control Number: 09/664,294 Page 3

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claim 3, Tripp discloses that the first conduit and the second conduit each comprise the Internet (Column 5, lines 12 - 14).

Regarding claim 4, Tripp discloses the idea that the first and second local catalogs provide location information for a plurality of content objects (Column 5, lines 15 – 25).

Regarding claim 5, Tripp discloses the idea that the location information comprises at least a file name (Column 6, lines 13 - 14) and an address (Column 5, lines 14 - 15).

Regarding claim 6, Tripp discloses the idea of a second timer wherein: the second local catalog is removed from the global catalog if the second site fails to **autonomously** respond before the second timer expires (Column 10, lines 11 – 15).

Regarding claim 7, Tripp discloses a search web page and a directory web page that is coupled to the global catalog (Column 9, lines 57 – 60).

Regarding claim 8 and 15, see the rationale for the rejection to claim 1.

Regarding claim 9 and 16, see the rationale for the rejection to claim 2.

Regarding claim 10 and 17, see the rationale for the rejection to claim 3.

Regarding claim 12 and 18, see the rationale for the rejection to claim 4.

Regarding claim 13 and 19, see the rationale for the rejection to claim 5.

Regarding claim 14 and 20, see the rationale for the rejection to claim 6.

Regarding claim 15, see the rationale for the rejection to claim 7.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/664,294

Art Unit: 2155

Applicant's arguments filed December 19, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In the applicant's argument, he is mentioning that the applicants invention, the web site initiates the report to the global catalog. In the amended claims, the applicant has the website acting autonomously in response to a message. In the prior art, column 10, lines 11-15, Tripp discloses that his system, checks the web servers from the database looking to determine if there are brochure files present. If the web server does not respond to the validity check with a brochure file, which is located within the web content, Column 5, lines 38 – 43, within the time limit, then the database server determines that the web server is no longer hosting the web content or brochure file. The database server receives the response from the web server, not the site administrator. The site administrator just receives a message in hopes to make him aware of the problem with the site, and perhaps fix the situation before the web server is deleted from the database.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2155

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Bates whose telephone number is (703) 605-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on (703) 308-6662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KB February 6, 2004 FRANTZ B. JEAN PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5